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|  |
| --- |
| 1.    Does this bill have a sponsor? Please provide name, phone and email. |
| 2.    Please explain the problem or deficiency in the existing law, and how this bill seeks to remedy that problem.While doula care is a proven method of improving outcomes for women having babies, few of the women facing the greatest risk can access doulas because of the out-of-pocket costs for this service. Doulas in California often cost more than $1000 which is prohibitive for many families, especially given how many of California’s families of color live in poverty (24.9% of Black Californians, 22.9% of Latinx Californians and 23% of Native American Californians live below 100% FPL).[1][1] **Steven Ruggles, Sarah Flood, Ronald Goeken, Josiah Grover, Erin Meyer, Jose Pacas and Matthew Sobek. IPUMS USA: Version 10.0 [dataset]. Minneapolis, MN: IPUMS, 2020. https://doi.org/10.18128/D010.V10.0** |
| 3.    Describe how your bill solves the problem described in 2) above.4. Is there a budget request associated with this bill? |
| 5.    Please cite existing law.  |
| 6.    Please present significant facts, research studies, and pertinent background information.Community-based doula programs across the United States have shown to improve birth outcomes specifically for low-income women of color.1-5 Additionally, research evaluating doula-attended births in all communities has proven this to be a safe, effective, and promising intervention.6 The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists revised their Care Consensus Statement in 2014 to say “published data indicate that one of the most effective tools to improve labor and delivery outcomes is the continuous presence of support personnel, such as a doula…given that there are no associated measurable harms, this resource is probably underutilized.”7The key outcomes associated with doula support include:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| * Reduced caesarian section rates6,8,9
* Reduced instrumental vaginal birth rates6
* Reduced preterm birth rates4,10
* Reduced rates of low birth weight1,4
* Shorter duration of labor6
 | * Reduced negative feelings about birth experience6
* Reduced medical expenditures on birth8,9,11
* Increased initiation of breast feeding4,5
* Potential savings of $986 per birth9
 |
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| 7.    Are any amendments planned for this bill? |
| 8.    If yes, provide a brief summary of planned amendments. |
| 9.    Please include an author's statement explaining the need for this bill. |
| 10.    Has a similar bill been introduced before in either this session or a previous session of the legislature?  |
| 11.    Has there been an interim committee report or subject hearing on this bill? |
| 12.    Please specify the report or provide information from the subject hearing. |
| 13.    Please describe any concerns that you anticipate may be raised in opposition to your bill, and state your response to those concerns. |
| 14.    The committee generally limits testimony to 2 witnesses, 3 minutes each. Please list the name and title of the witnesses: |
|  |
| 15.    Which state agencies are affected by this bill? Have you met and/or discussed the bill with the agency? |

**COMMITTEE POLICY ON AUTHOR'S AMENDMENTS**

**Author's amendments must be submitted to the Committee Secretary (in Legislative Counsel Form) no later than 5:00 p.m. seven calendar days preceding the hearing of your bill. We require the signed original, six copies, and a copy of the "in context" version. If amendments are submitted after the deadline the Chair may reschedule the bill hearing and the "set" will count against the author's limit of three sets.**